

Proposition O Project Score Data

Objectives	Weighting	Subobjectives	Subobjective Weighting	Units	Scale	Taylor Yard G2	
1) Project Significance	5%	Is the project significant?					
		The project is located in a high priority catchment area and the pollution problem and loads for the drainage area served by the project BMP treatment train are significant - Per WPD's Stormwater Pollution Generation & Control, July 2004 and High Trash Generation Areas and Control Measures, January 2002	100%	Score	5 to 1 High = 5 Medium = 3 Low = 1	3	
2) Compliance with Water Quality Goals	30%	Does the project comply with water quality goals?					
		2a) This project BMP treatment train assists in achieving water quality standard compliance for the impaired waters: - Project treating 303(d) listed water bodies - Onsite treatment only	33%	Score	15 to 1 303(d) listed: 15 Onsite Trtmnt: 1	12	
		2b) Compliance objectives can be quantified	33%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
		2c) Seasons during which compliance is achieved - Year round including wet weather - Winter and Summer dry - Dry weather	33%	Score	10 to 5 Year Round = 10 W/Summer Dry = 7 Dry weather = 5	7	
3) Pollution Reduction	20%	Does the project provide pollution reduction?					
		3a) The project results in reduction of loads/concentrations of more than one impairing pollutant	20%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
		3b) The number and types of impairing pollutants that can be reduced are important – trash, bacteria, sediment, & heavy metals (High Priority Pollutants)	20%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
		3c) The project causes positive or negative impacts to other pollution problems	20%	Score	Positive = +4 Negative = -4	4	
		3d) The BMP is a proven BMP for pollutant removal of this type based upon available ASCE, CalTrans, or site-specific BMP scientific data.	20%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
		3e) The magnitude and percent of overall load/concentration reduction predicted by the BMP treatment train is significant	20%	Score	≥ 70% = 5 < 70% = 3	5	
4) Multiple Objectives	25%	Does the project achieve multiple objectives?					
		4a) Does the project augment local water supply? Quantify. - Irrigation re-use and/or infiltration to San Fernando Groundwater Basin	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	0	
		4b) Does the project significantly reduce flood risk? Quantify. - Address regional flood risk reduction – High - Address local flood risk reduction – Medium - Does not address flood risk reduction – Low	8%	Score	5 to 1 High = 5 Medium = 3 Low = 0	0	
		4c) Does the project provide stream restoration? Quantify.	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	0	
		4d) Does the project create or enhance recreational open space? Quantify.	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	
		4e) Does the project create or enhance habitat value? Quantify.	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5	

Proposition O Project Score Data

Objectives	Weighting	Subobjectives	Subobjective Weighting	Units	Scale	Taylor Yard G2
		4f) Does the project address an environmental justice issue? Quantify.	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4g) Is the project visible (i.e. can it be visually seen)?	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4h) Is the project environmentally sustainable?	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4i) Does the project integrate with existing watershed management plans such as the IRP, IRWMP, or LA River Revitalization Plan? How?	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4j) Does the project have a strong community support? - Is project supported by local community and/or Council Office	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4k) Does the project involve a multi-agency and stakeholder partnership?	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
		4l) Does the project provide educational or demonstrational functions?	8%	Score	Yes = 5 No = 0	5
5) Cost Effectiveness	10%	Is the project cost-effective				
		5a) Overall capital cost including durability and annual O&M costs - Estimated BMP costs based on industry standards - O&M cost < 6% of total capital (construction) cost - BMP lifespan > 20 years	25%	Score	Low = 4 Medium = 2 High = 1	4
		5b) Cost per unit of pollutant reduction (example - cost per pound of pollutant reduced)	25%	Score	Low = 2 Medium = 1 High = 0	2
		5c) The project can be cost effectively adapted to changing conditions (regulatory, pollution, land-use, etc)	25%	Score	Yes = 2 No = 0	2
		5d) The project leverages any existing or potential funds from state and other sources? How much and from where?	25%	Score	Yes = 2 No = 0	2
6) Project Readiness	10%	Is the project ready?				
		6a) How ready is the project for construction? How complete are the project plans and specifications? When will the project be complete?	33%	Score	Constr = 3 Design = 2 Concept = 1	1
		6b) What is the status of CEQA and other permitting requirements? Is CEQA ready?	33%	Score	Yes = 2 No = 0	0
		6c) A site is available for the project or a clear process exists for attainment - Available site and/or on City r/w - Clear process for attainment (proximity, size, soil conditions, etc.) - Have not initiated land acquisition	33%	Score	Available site = 5 Site obtainable = 3 Need to initiate = 0	5
Total (does not reflect actual project ranking as weights will be applied in CDP)	100%		100%			82