

**Proposition O Citizens Oversight Advisory Committee (COAC)
Meeting Minutes
Monday, July 20, 2015**

Committee Members

Adi Liberman (Chair)	Craig Perkins
Mark Gold (Vice Chair)	Francine Diamond
Miguel Luna	Alina Bokde
Cynthia McClain-Hill	Teresa Villegas

City

Rafael Prieto [Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA)]
Blayne Sutton-Wills (CLA)
 David Hirano [(City Administrative Officer (CAO))]
Alma Gibson (CAO)
Ted Jordan (City Attorney)
Kendrick Okuda [Bureau of Engineering (BOE)]
Ken Redd (BOE)
Shahram Kharaghani [(Bureau of Sanitation (LASAN))]
 Wing Tam (LASAN)
 Adel Hagekhalil (LASAN)

Bold indicates members present.

Note: The minutes below follow the order in which the meeting’s agenda items were discussed.

The COAC Chair called the meeting to order at 2:15 p.m. with six committee members present. The meeting was held at City Hall, Room 1500.

I. Approval of the Minutes from prior meeting

Action: The meeting minutes for May 2015 were not approved due to the lack of a quorum at the outset of the meeting.

II. City Administrative Officer (CAO)/Chief Legislative Analyst/Citizens Oversight Advisory Committee (COAC) representative update on Proposition O (Prop O) issues and Administrative Oversight Committee (AOC) meeting

AOC did not have a meeting in July but was planning to meet in August. Economic study prompted by the BOS Budget Deliberation is looking at the cost of the projects after Prop O has stopped funding it. Committee Chair requests the Council File Number from the CAO for the committee members.

The CLA had nothing to report.

Public Comment: Ms. Joyce Dillard commented that the economic analysis was done and it is not for jobs. Ms. Joyce Dillard is also concerned about some of the Prop O projects that are in early action

plans of EWMPs and the shared costs are not being looked at. The federal guidelines and requirements should be addressed. The stormwater capture credit is similar to “cap and trade” and is the wrong way to go. It’s about water quality, and we have gradually shifted the focus on water capture.

III. Discussion and Possible Action:

- **Verbal Presentation by Bureau of Engineering Regarding the Prop O Program March 2015 Monthly Report**

BOE reported the following:

- Temescal Canyon and Penmar Phase II: working with Recreation and Parks (RAP) to obtain temporary and permanent easements for BOS. This will cause a change in schedule of about six months. RAP Board wants an appraisal costing \$15,000 for each property which includes a survey and legal description. The legal description is used for the temporary and permanent right-of-entry for the construction company.
Action: The Chair recommends that he and the Vice Chair draft and deliver a letter representing the group to present to the RAP Commission, RAP Director, the Mayor and the appropriate offices.
- Santa Monica Bay Low Flow Diversions Package 3 Phase 2: The Coastal Interceptor Relief Sewer was completed just before the 4th of July holiday.
- Machado Lake: Remains on schedule with construction being 44% complete, dredging at 65% complete and will continue into Fall of 2015. The placement of the Aquablok bottom has started, and BOE is proceeding with the deletion of the phosphorous treatment system.

Public Comment: Ms. Joyce Dillard commented that a Prop O project has been constructed on the park, which is legally difficult and will be a huge problem. Ms. Joyce Dillard also commented that other cities have been approached to share costs on Machado and Penmar, but it has not been put into any reports.

IV. Discussion and Possible Action:

- **Verbal Presentation by the Bureau of Sanitation Regarding Project Status Updates**

LASAN reported the following:

- LAWA Project (Argo Drain): a copy of the MOU given to the members of the committee; A major part of the MOU is the land lease, which is still being finalized. Meeting on July 21st with all departments about permitting.
- The Prop O Audit: The meeting about initial findings is scheduled for July 21st. The audit which is required by the bond measure is scheduled to be completed by end of July.

V. Discussion and Possible Action:

- **Future agenda items**

There were no future agenda items discussed.

VI. General Public Comment

There were no other public comments.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:07 p.m.